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more markets, more opportunities

### BlackBerry App World Generates Highest Revenue Per App

Posted on February 28, 2011 by Jeff Bacon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010 Revenue (Millions of U.S. Dollars)</th>
<th>~Avg. # Apps</th>
<th>$/App</th>
<th>vs. Apple</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>$ 1,782</td>
<td>275000</td>
<td>$ 6,480.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIM</td>
<td>$ 165</td>
<td>18000</td>
<td>$ 9,166.67</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nokia</td>
<td>$ 105</td>
<td>16000</td>
<td>$ 6,562.50</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google</td>
<td>$ 102</td>
<td>85000</td>
<td>$ 1,200.00</td>
<td>-81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bacon on the Go (http://casualbits.wordpress.com) by Jeff Bacon, Revenue via IHS Screen Digest February 2011
motivation

- readiness to pursue niche platform opportunities

chosen strategy

- have multi-platform software production tooling built around an adaptable programming language capable of existing in variations of itself

thesis’ contributions

- technologies
  - and suggestions for applying them
overview

1. niche platforms
2. strategy
3. technologies / papers (chapters 2–5)
   ▶ Source-to-Source Compilation via Submodules
   ▶ Illusionary Abstract Syntax
   ▶ Inferring Required Permissions for Statically Composed Programs
   ▶ Declarative Propagation of Errors as Data Values
part 1: niche platforms
niche platform, defined

- a platform:
  - software can be written for it, and run on it
- a niche platform: one without a large developer ecosystem
  - e.g., Symbian, BB10, Harmattan
niche platforms

problems

- few developers → socially limiting
- few libraries, tools → poor dev experience
- not established → discontinuation risk

advantages

- little competition → app discoverability, unit price, ”bribery”
software development tools

- compiler
- IDE
- emulator
- on-target debugger
- build manager
- toolchain
- ...

... tools for packaging, installation, localization, file formats (executables, resource files, help files, bitmaps, certificates, printer drivers, ...), ...
unfamiliar tools

Symbian toolchain
abld, bldmake, bmconv, elf2e32, makedef, makmake, rcomp, ...
languages

programming languages

▶ C, C++, JavaScript, ...

little languages

▶ project description languages
  ▶ qmake, MMP, "tizen-manifest.xml", ...
custom and standard languages
makmake project (MMP)

```
TARGETTYPE exe
TARGET bdl_l_anxporter.exe
UID 0x100039ce 0xeb1d1001
EPOCSTACKSIZE 0x10000
EPOCHEAPSIZE 0x020000 0x800000
CAPABILITY ReadUserData

"Symbian C++"

_LIT(KConsoleTitle, "Anyxporter");
CConsoleBase* console =
    Console::NewL(KConsoleTitle,
        TSize(KConsFullScreen, KConsFullScreen));
CleanupStack::PushL(console);
```
APIs / vocabulary

Symbian
CBase, CActive, User, CleanupStack, ...

Qt
QObject, QString, QList, QMap, QVariant, ...

Tizen 2.3
tizen_error_e, event_cb, event_handler_h, ...
platform lifespans

Psion Series 5

▶ 1997–2001
▶ EPOC release 5
  ▶ became Symbian OS

Pebble

▶ 2013–2016
▶ Pebble OS
  ▶ at Fitbit?

"Burning Platform" by Micky Aldridge (CC BY 2.0)
part 2: strategy

- **Core asset management:** Manage software components, target-specific build information, and program configurations in an open-world and tool-assisted manner.

- **Production tooling:** Have tooling complement and integrate with a programming language family sharing a common style and development environment. Accommodate target-platform-specific tools, but avoid depending on them.

- **Program composition:** Have at least one of the language family members include a component system supporting parameterizable components, and separate abstract “concepts” that may specify both syntax and semantics (as signatures and algebraic laws) to constrain composition. Have the language possess a static reasoning and translation friendly
constraint

- play nice with platforms (APIs, languages, tools)
  - coexist, do not fight
product families

▶ multiple product configurations
  ▶ to suit different platforms
product lines

- systematically manage multiple product configurations
  - to suit different platforms
wanted: ”containment”

▶ knowledge about platform

1. languages
2. APIs
3. tools

→ limit the extent to which one must acquire and remember it
managing platform specifics: languages

- program in a *familiar* translatable language
  - one to shield us from target languages
  - one that we control
    - can customize to capture idioms, etc.
single target language scenario

- physical units
- state machines
  - reduce
  - reduce
- mbeddr C
- translate
- C
  - compile program
  - compile program
- OpenEmbedded-Core
  - build firmware
  - build firmware
- BeagleBoard
- MinnowBoard

- specific mbeddr C
- C-like language
multiple target language scenario

- Physical units
  - reduce
  - state machines
  - reduce
  - reduce
  - C
    - translate
    - Swift
      - compile
        - program
        - iPhone 7 (with iOS)
    - C
      - compile
        - program
        - Samsung Z2 (with Tizen 3.0)

- OneLang
  - translate
  - OneLang-C

- Agnostic OneLang
  - Unoriented language
- Specific OneLang
  - C-oriented language
one language technology base

- "one" language to rule all them platforms—through variation
  - same look and feel
  - same programming environment

```scheme
(define (main-pred-fact
  (Var? (Var-id (Var id))
(define (main-accessor
  (Var-id (Var "x"))
(define (main-match x
  (match x
    [(Var y) y]
    [_ "z"]
))
```
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managing platform specifics: APIs

- hide specifics under abstract APIs

```c
typedef struct _Engine* Engine;  // abstract data type
Engine Engine_new(MyError* error);
boolean Engine_export_all_contacts(
    Engine obj, const char* filename, MyError* error);
void Engine_destroy(Engine obj);
```

- wanted: API parameterization for purposes of code composition
  - e.g., code to use for reading contacts
  - e.g., code to use for writing to a file
agnostic API management language

- e.g., Magnolia
program composition

```
program TizenContactsExporter = {
    use Engine;
    use TizenContacts;
    use CFileSys;
};
```
managing platform specifics: tools

- write makefiles or scripts to drive vendor tools
  - use tools to source build configuration information
    - e.g., from API annotations (§4)

PLATFORM := symbian
PERMISSIONS := NetworkServices ReadUserData
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§2–5 technologies

- for adaptable, translatable programming languages
§2–3 language processing

- for implementing adaptable, translatable programming languages
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- European Lisp Symposium (ELS 2016)
  - Kraków
§2 Source-to-Source Compilation via Submodules

presents
A technique for arranging for further compilation of Racket languages, post macroexpansion and other desired processing.

achieves

- allows extensive reuse of Racket mechanisms
- retains support for separate compilation
§2 Magnolisp

- proof-of-concept software
- transcompiled, with a C++ back end

```magnolisp
#lang magnolisp

(typedef int #:: (foreign))

(define (f1 x) #:: (export ^(-> int int))
  (define (g) x) (g))
```

```c++
MGL_PROTO int f1_g( int const& x );

MGL_API_FUNC int f1( int const& x ) {
  return f1_g(x);
}

MGL_FUNC int f1_g( int const& x ) {
  return x;
}
```
§2 defining languages in Racket

- a \#lang is implemented as a module
- specifies a "reader" to turn text into syntax objects
- exports variables, macros, core forms

another-magnolisp

- just like magnolisp

```
#lang racket/base
(module reader syntax/module-reader
  another-magnolisp/main
  #:wrapper1 (lambda (t) (with-magnolisp-readable (t)))
  (require magnolisp/reader-ext))
(require magnolisp)
(provide (all-from-out magnolisp))
```
§2 technique for source-to-source compilation

- allow a language’s macros to target foreign core forms
§2 host language reuse

- reuse Racket’s `#lang` mechanism for defining languages
- reuse Racket’s language environment
- (define (sum-1 lst) #:: (export)
  (if-empty lst 0
    (let
      (sum-2
        (if c e t))

- expose Racket’s module system to your language
- expose Racket’s macro system to your language
  - rarity: a “language workbench” for self-extensible languages

#lang magnolisp
(define-syntax-rule (if-not c t e)
  (if c e t))
§2 separate compilation

- macroexpand and byte-compile only out-of-date modules
  - e.g., with `raco make`

compatible with host language philosophy

- submodules are intended for defining new "phases"
  - here: transcompile time

alternative approaches

- e.g., expand externally and serialize into a separate file
  - more to manage yourself
  - still byte-compile modules for macroexpansion time use
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International Workshop on Open and Original Problems in Software Language Engineering (OOPSLE 2014)
► Antwerp

more recently
► joint work with Anya Helene Bagge
§3 Illusionary Abstract Syntax

presents
A scheme for declaratively (in an embedded DSL) implementing more-abstract-than-usual abstract syntax tree data types.

achieves
- ASTs with abstract data types
- with some extra flexibility for commonality expression
  - potential for further DSL innovations
- expects compile-time expressive power, little run-time
§3 general idea

Use a macro-based embedded DSL for declaring

- actual data representations; and
- illusionary ones over the above.
§3 node data type (NDT)

- with named fields
- unrelated to other (host language) data types
- treated as abstract data—opaque, with operations
  - predicate, field access, construction
- patterns defined, for matching
  - as (special) macros
  - translating to operation uses
§3 view data type (VDT)

- with named fields
- uses other type(s) for storage
- treated as abstract data—opaque, with operations
  - predicate, field access, copying
- patterns defined, for matching
  - as (special) macros
  - translating to operation uses
§3 Illusyn

- a library for Racket
- used in Magnolisp implementation
- includes Stratego-style HoFs for rewriting strategies
§3 VDTs relate NDTs

- can also relate subsets of NDTs
§3 VDT vs. NDT APIs

VDT

(define-view V
  ([#:field v #:use n]])
(V? (N 0)) ;;=> #t ;; N/A
(copy-V (N 2) 0) ;;=> (N 0)
(V-v (N 3)) ;;=> 3
(set-V-v (N 4) 0) ;;=> (N 0)
(match (N 5) [(V v) v]) ;;=> 5
(V=? (N 6) (N 6)) ;;=> #t

NDT

(define-ast N (V)
  ([#:none n]])
(N? (N 0)) ;;=> #t
(N 1) ;;=> (N 1)
(copy-N (N 2) 0) ;;=> (N 0)
(N-n (N 3)) ;;=> 3
(set-N-n (N 4) 0) ;;=> (N 0)
(match (N 5) [(N n) n]) ;;=> 5
(N=? (N 6) (N 6)) ;;=> #t

- enumerating substructure needs disambiguation
- view-directed traversals supported by Illusyn
§3 product-line use

- language translation through successive rewrites
- goal: more general and reusable transformation routines
  - for sharing among core language processors
§4–5 language features

- for inclusion in agnostic, translatable programming languages
  - error prevention
  - error handling
§4 permissions

- permission-based security models
  - popularized by smartphone OSes
  - access control for sensitive APIs

permission requirements

- tend to be vendor specific
- can vary even between the releases of a single platform
- for a developer to declare for programs
  - optimal set, ideally
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Ilulissat
§4 Inferring Required Permissions for Statically Composed Programs

presents
A solution for cross-platform permission management.

achieves

▶ tool support for inferring platform-specific permission requirements from code
▶ language support for abstracting over run-time permission errors so that they can be handled platform agnostically
§4 proof-of-concept implementation

Magnolia

- permission inference (was) integrated into its implementation

Anyxporter

- example app (available)
- https://github.com/bldl/anyxporter
  - magnolia branch
§4 desirable language characteristics

- interface-based abstraction
  - to support organizing cross-platform codebases
- programs are amenable to extensive and accurate reasoning
  - e.g., by restricting language
  - e.g., by allowing declaration of properties
§4 agnostic error reporting abstraction: alerts

Stayin’ Alert:
Moulding Failure and Exceptions to Your Needs

Anya Helene Bagge    Valentin David    Magne Haveraaen    Karl Trygve Kalleberg
University of Bergen, Norway
{anya,valentin,magne,karltk}@ii.uib.no

Abstract
Dealing with failure and exceptional situations is an important but tricky part of programming, especially when reusing existing components. Traditionally, it has been up to the designer of a library to decide whether to use a language’s exception mechanism, return values, or other ways to indicate exceptional circumstances. The library user has been bound by this choice, even though it may be inconvenient for a particular use. Furthermore, normal program code is often cluttered with code dealing with exceptional circumstances.

This paper introduces an alert concept which gives a uniform interface to all failure mechanisms. It separates the handling of an exceptional situation from reporting it, and allows for retro-fitting this for existing libraries. For instance, we may easily declare the

of SPARK Ada [2], where the Ada exception mechanism has been removed in an attempt at making validation and verification easier. This ideal advocated by such a “keep errors out” approach is certainly desirable. It is generally preferable to write algorithms with as few corner cases as possible.

In many cases, however, removing the errors altogether is simply not feasible [27]. Most modern applications run in multi-user, multi-process environments where they share resources such as storage and network with other applications. In these situations, operations on files, network connections and similar operating system resources can always fail, due to interaction with other programs on the running system or external devices.

Errors and exceptional situations need not always be caused by external factors, however. Even in situations where resource re-
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§4 solution: dynamic behavior

1. declare possible run-time permission errors agnostically
   ▶ e.g., E_PRIVILEGE_DENIED return value
     ↦ NoPermissionSocial alert
       ▶ example code in §5
§4 solution: static requirements

2. declare platform-specific permission requirements specifically
   ▶ per operation, per implementation
     ▶ if opaque (i.e., foreign)
   ▶ as a predicate expression—commonly need \( \land \), sometimes \( \lor \)
     ▶ for tools to statically infer permission requirements for a program
     ▶ e.g., NetworkServices \( \land \) ReadUserData
§4 solution: static analysis

3. infer programs’ permission requirements
   ▶ based on their reachable uses of operations
§4 solution: platform-specific policy

4. decide on permission requests, using
   ▶ program configuration information
   ▶ platform-specific policies

5. insert requests into vendor tools’ “manifest” files

configuration recipe

Symbian policy

inferred permissions

distribution = side-loaded, certificate = self-signed, platform = S60 3.0+

manifest writer

uses

uses

compiles

NetworkServices & ReadUserData

manifest

generates

CAPABILITIES NetworkServices ReadUserData
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▶ Norwegian Informatics Conference (NIK 2016)
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§5 Declarative Propagation of Errors as Data Values

presents
Portable, non-disruptive, guarded-algebra-inspired error reporting convention, and language (wide) support for it.

achieves
- allows referentially transparent expression language
- accommodates “normal” exception syntax
§5 possibility of exceptions vs. static reasoning

“Exceptions are not exceptional enough.” (Liang et al.)

(define tmp1 (open-input-file from))
(define in tmp1)
(define tmp2 (open-output-file to))
(define out tmp2)
(copy-port in out)
(close-output-port out)
(close-input-port in)
§5 data and control flow

- shall we just have abnormal data instead of abnormal control?
  - keep on computing despite uncomputable or unacceptable values

Erda family of languages

- all language-native data values are either good or bad
- all operations appear total
§5 file copying in Erda_{GA}

```scheme
(define in (open-input-file from))
(define out (open-output-file to))
copy-port in out
close-output-port out
close-input-port in
```

- no disruptive flow any longer
- now with safe resource cleanup
  - resource cleanup bookkeeping comes for “free”
  - but must not try calling primitives with invalid arguments
§5 guarded algebras

"error history extension" for primitive functions

\[ \hat{f}(\hat{a}_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_k) = \begin{cases} 
\text{cgood}(f(a_1, \ldots, a_k)) & \text{if } \hat{a}_1 = \text{cgood}(a_1), \ldots, \hat{a}_k = \text{cgood}(a_k) \text{ and } \\
\text{cbad}(\hat{f}(\hat{a}_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_k)) & \text{otherwise}. 
\end{cases} \]
§5 total language in Erda$_{GA}$

- Erdas extend the entire language, guarded algebra style
  - just operate—bad happenings become values

```scheme
> (define bad (raise 'bad))
> bad
(Bad bad: raise bad)
> (if #t 'true 'untrue)
(Good 'true)
> (if bad 'true 'untrue)
(Bad bad-arg: if-then (Bad bad: raise bad) <fun> <fun>)
```

- history of failed expressions recorded
  - also: redo semantics
§5 adapting to foreign conventions

► declaratively
  ► a way to capture knowledge about error behavior

example in Erda$_{C++}$

► E_PRIVILEGE_DENIED return value
  ➞ NoPermissionSocial alert
  ► example from §4

(declare (read-all-contacts db)
  #: (type (-> ContactsDatabase ContactsSet))
  #:alert (NoPermissionSocial post-when
    (value E_PRIVILEGE_DENIED)))
proof-of-concept software

- [https://bldl.ii.uib.no/software/pltnp/](https://bldl.ii.uib.no/software/pltnp/)
- Erdas, Illusyn, Konffaa, Magnolisp
  - Erda$_{\text{C++}}$, Erda$_{\text{GA}}$, ...

![Diagram]

- Erda$_{\text{C++}}$
  - a Magnolisp-based language
summary

1. niche platforms
2. a strategy for dealing with them
3. technologies for that
   - source-to-source compiled Racket languages
   - AST abstract data types, declaratively
   - permission inference for composed programs
   - portable error handling, with local control flow
programming language technology

- §2 macro and module system reuse for translated languages
- §3 declared abstract data types for (more) abstract syntax
- §4 platform-agnostic permission management
- §5 portable and semi-declarative error handling

ultimate goal

Develop a strategy and agnostic-but-specializable languages and tools for targeting any platform. More platforms, more opportunities.

software and documentation

https://bldl.ii.uib.no/software/pltnp/

contact

tero@ii.uib.no